

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
10. Box) 450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,553	01/04/2002	Mark Linus Bauman	ROC920010193US4	7117
46 7 97 7	1590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER .	
IBM CORPORATION, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW			TRUONG, LECHI	
DEPT 917, BL 3605 HIGHWA	.DG. 006-1 AY 52 NORTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTER,	, MN 55901-7829		2194	
•			DATE MAIL ED: 11/29/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Amm!!4/-1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/037,553	BAUMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INO DATE of this course	LeChi Truong	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this countries. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for really received by the Office later than three monte armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, primunication. In statutory period will apply and will expire SIX eply will, by statute, cause the application to bee the after the mailing date of this communication,	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 06 September 2005		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conditi	• =	I matters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the pra	·	·	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,12-19 and 21-26</u>	is/are nending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ın	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	yarə minaramı nəm cənəldərdilə	•••	
6) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1, 3-10, 12-19, 21-26</u> is.	/are rejected		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to res		nt.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by		ad to but the Constitute	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a		•	
Applicant may not request that any o Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	•	• •	SED 4 404/d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected			
	To by the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action of form P	10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	- · · · ·	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of			
	ity documents have been receive		
<u> </u>	ity documents have been receive	· ·	
•	es of the priority documents have		Stage
* See the attached detailed Office ac	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
oco ano attaonoù detaneù Onice at	aton for a not of the certified copie	S HOLTOCHEG.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 		er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	• •	er:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3-10, 12-19, 21-26 are presented for the examination. Claims 2, 11 and 20 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan (US. Patent 6,222856 B1) in view of Firth et al (US. 5,987,517).
- 3. As to claim 1, Krishnan teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: providing asynchronous network communication between a client and a server (col 8, ln 20-25/ln 1-3), socket (socket, col 8, ln 10-15/ATQ, col 8, ln 52-56), server (server, col 8, ln 10-15), configuring a socket for an application on the server (col 8, ln 10-15), request (request, col 8, ln 6-15), the client (client, col 8, ln 6-15), a continuous mode input operation (assigns an asynchronous thread context from the ATG library 80 to handle the request, col 8, ln 6-8/the ATO library also supports the I/O operating with respect to the network by providing functions to reads, writer and transmit files, col 8, ln 10-13/All the subsequent asynchronous I/O

Art Unit: 2194

operations, col 8, ln 20-21/ the ATQ library 80 enables asynchronous input and output operations, col 6, ln 33-37), a listening socket (socket are stored in the ATQ Context, col 8, ln 13-15/ the ATO uses the context value, col 8, ln 53-55).

- 4. Krishnan does not explicit teach the single asynchronous operation. However, Firth teaches single asynchronous operation (select asynchronous behavior for function calls, and select internal caching. The single call to InternetOpen () initializes and Internet session for the application, col 16, ln 26-32/ asynchronous function operation, col 15, ln 42-46/ an application can communication information about several request using a single function call, col 18, ln 15-20).
- 5. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Krishnan and Firth because Firth's the single asynchronous operation would improve flexibility of Krishnan's system by adding new or additional Internet application protocols for establishing communications with a variety of computer networks.
- 6. Claims 4-8, 10, 13-17, 19, 21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan in view of Firth et al (US. 5,987,517), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of APA (Admitted Prior Art).
- 7. **As to claim 4,** Krishnan and Firth do not teach the continuous mode input operations are issued from a main thread of the application. However, APA teaches the continuous mode input operations are issued from a main thread of the application (page 14, ln 17-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,553

Art Unit: 2194

5. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Krishnan, Firth and APA because the continuous mode input operations are issued from a main thread of the application would improve flexibility of Krishnan and Firth's systems by allowing a socket to communication between a first process and second process at the remote machine.

Page 4

- 8. As to claim 5, APA teaches placing a single pending receive data structure on a pending queue (page 5, ln 4-6), copying contents of the pending receive data structure to a completed receive data structure queued on a receive completion queue (page 5, ln 5-8).
- 9. As to claim 6, APA teaches placing a single pending accept data structure on a pending queue; for each of the plurality of incoming client connections (page 4, ln 20-21), copying contents of the single pending accept data structure to a completed accept data structure queued on a accept completion queue (page 4, ln 22-24).
- 10. As to claim 7, it is an apparatus claim of claim 5; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 5 above.
- 11. As to claim 8, APA teaches acquiring a buffer from system supply memory to contain the completed client request (page 5, ln 13-14).
- 12. As to claim 10, it is an apparatus claim of claim 1; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above. In additional, Krishnan teaches application-programming interface (the QAT will call when a request arrives, col 8, ln 16-20).
- 13. As to claims 13-17, they are apparatus claims of claims 4-8; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4-8 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,553

Art Unit: 2194

14. As to claim 19, it is an apparatus claim of claim 10; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 10 above. In additional, Firth teaches a network connection with a remote computer (col 3, ln 35-34), a processor (col 5, ln 1-7), memory (col 5, ln 1-7).

Page 5

- 15. **As to claims 21, 23-26**, they are apparatus claims of claims 4-8; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 4-8 above.
- 16. Claims 3, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Krishnan(US. Patent 6,222856 B1) in view of Firth et al (US. 5,987,517), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shah et al (US. Patent 6,175,879 B1).
- 17. As to claim 3, Krishnan and Firth do not explicitly teach receive the client requests without invoking the application unit the request is completely received. However, Shah teaches receive the client requests without invoking the application unit the request is completely received (col 6, ln 45-53/ col 4, ln 40-45).
- 18. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Krishnan, Firth and Shah because Shah's single operation would improving reliability of Krishnan and Firth 's systems by preventing the system from down grading its performance that associated with receive-any data handling.
- 19. As to claim 12, it is an apparatus claim of claim 3; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 3 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,553 Page 6

Art Unit: 2194

20. Claims **9, 18, 22** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan(US. Patent 6,222856 B1) in view of Firth et al (US. 5,987,517), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of APA (Admitted Prior Art) and further in view of Joh (US. Patent 6,717,954 B1).

- 21. As to claim 9, Krishnan, Firth and APA do not teach the buffer comprises sizing the buffer according to a size of the completed client request. However, Joh teaches the buffer comprises sizing the buffer according to a size of the completed client request (buffer is the same size of the current message, col 8, ln 1-2).
- 22. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Krishnan, Firth, APA and Joh because Joh's sizing the buffer according to a size of the completed client request would increase the efficiency of Krishnan, Firth and APA's systems by providing a custom fit for message transmission based on client request.
- 23. As to claims 18, 22, they are apparatus claims of claim 9; therefore, they are rejected for the same reason as claim 9 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

Art Unit: 2194

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomson, William can be reached on (571) 272 3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

November 21, 2006

PRENG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN'